

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS. P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/647,170	08/21/2003	Toshiyuki Takabayashi	03487/HG	1777
1933	7590 10/07/2005		EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF 220 5TH AV	, HOLTZ, GOODMAI	BERMAN, SUSAN W		
NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1711 .	-

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/647,170 TAKABAYASHI, TO		SHIYUKI	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Susan W. Berman	1711		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Susan W. Berman	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 31 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) X The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	a) and the appropriate exte The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	ension fee have on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	allowable if submitted in a separate						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-6 and 8-12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.  \$	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ills to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  see attached page(s).							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
	•	Susan W Berman Primary Examiner					

Art Unit: 1711

Application/Control Number: 10/647,170

Art Unit: 1711

The comparative data submitted in the Affidavit filed 08-31-2005 has been considered. The evidence presented is found unpersuasive for the following reasons. The examples of oxetane compound representative of Smith or Sasaki et al are not considered to exemplify the closest prior art as required for a comparative showing of unexpected results. Smith and Sasaki et al each teach oxetane compounds wherein substituents R<sub>3</sub> to R<sub>6</sub> are not simultaneously hydrogen, as set forth in the instant claims. The polar substituents in the compounds reported on in the Affidavit would be expected to affect bond lengths, as shown by the measurements. Smith discloses that R<sub>4</sub>, R<sub>5</sub>, R<sub>7</sub> and R<sub>8</sub> in the formula in column 6, lines 25-34 can be selected from the substituents in column 6, lines 34-37. Sasaki et al discloses that the R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub> and R<sub>4</sub> substituents in formula 1 can independently be hydrogen or hydrocarbon.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan W. Berman whose telephone number is 571 272 1067. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571 272 1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained
from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available
through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic
Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SB 10/3/05 Susan W Berman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711